



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/397,481	09/16/99	HAWES	J 004578.1025

JERRY W MILLS
BAKER & BOTTS LLP
2001 ROSS AVENUE
DALLAS TX 75201-2980

QM02/0510

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATKINSON	6
DATE MAILED: 05/10/01	

05/10/01

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

1. ☒ The communication filed 4/20/01 is informal/non-responsive for the reason(s) checked below and should be corrected. APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER OR UNTIL THE EXPIRATION OF THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE SET IN THE LAST OFFICE ACTION (WHICHEVER IS LONGER) WITHIN WHICH TO CORRECT THE INFORMALITY.

- a. ☐ The amendment to claim(s) _____, filed _____, fails to comply with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.121 and is accordingly held to be non-responsive. A supplemental paper correcting the informal portions and complying with the rule is required.
- b. ☐ The paper is unsigned. A duplicate paper or ratification, properly signed, is required.
- c. ☐ The paper is signed by _____, who is not of record. A ratification or a new power of attorney with a ratification, or a duplicate paper signed by a person of record, is required.
- d. ☐ The communication is presented on paper which will not provide a permanent copy. A permanent copy, or a request that a permanent copy be made by the Office at applicant's expense, is required, see M.P.E.P. 714.07.

e. ☒ Other Office Action Remailed 4/20/01 only has the Notice of Ref. 4710-892 box checked because this Office Action (4/20/01) is a re-mail and since applicant did not state he did not receive the PRO-892 in the original Office Action mailed 8/18/2000, applicant did receive the PRO-892.

2. ☐ In accordance with applicant's request, THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE FROM THE OFFICE ACTION DATED the PRO-892 IS EXTENDED TO RUN _____ MONTH(S).

No further extension will be granted unless approved by the Commissioner. 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (b)

3. ☐ Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119 which papers have been made of record in the file.
4. ☐ Other

Note: it appears applicant's are delaying prosecution of this application since applicant's are only finding reasons not to address the outstanding office action. If applicant has any more concerns, he is requested to contact the Examiner since applicant has all necessary documents needed to act on the office actions Mailed 8/18/2000 and Remailed 4/20/01.

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER